

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,091	02/03/2004	Robert A. Rubino	WEAT/0441.P1 2564	
7	7590 07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
William B. Patterson			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Blvd.			2839	
Houston, TX 77056			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AK				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	10/772,091	RUBINO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Chandrika Prasad	2839					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ine 2005.						
,	/ <del></del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		•				
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine		•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\square$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	s have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A				
application from the International Bureau		sa in tina Hational Otag	C				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
Attachment(s)	<b>(</b>						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<del>-</del>	Patent Application (PTO-152)	•				

Art Unit: 2839

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

1. The reply filed on 6/16/05 consists of amendments to claim 1, addition of new claims 13-20, change in the specification & drawing and remarks related to rejection of claims. The claims are not allowable as explained below.

# Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Specification

- 3. The following is a quotation of an appropriate paragraph of 37 CFR 1.75:
  - (d) The claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the specification and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support or antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description. (See 1.58(a)).
- 4. Specification is objected under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(d) because the following has not been described in the specification.

The method of constructing an optical connector assembly has not been has not been described as recited in claims 13-20.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Art Unit: 2839

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The method of constructing an optical connector assembly has not been has not been described as recited in claims 13-20.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldman et al.(5590229).

Goldman (Figures 1-6) shows a connector comprising a first connector end to receive a first fiber optic cable end, a second connector end adapted to receive a second fiber optic cable end, a plurality of termini on the first connector end, a second plurality of termini on the second connector end, a first alignment feature 44,46,44', 46' for aligning first connector end with second connector end and a second alignment feature for properly rotationally aligning each termini of the first plurality of termini with each termini of the second plurality of termini as described in Column 8, line 31 –column 9, line 53. The connector further comprises a nut 48 disposed on the first connector end. Goldman further shows a plurality of termini keys (pins) disposed on each of the

plurality of terminal (see Column 5, lines 1-17) and angled tip surfaces. The connector is a multi-channel connector.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldman et al.( 5590229) in view of Lampert (5067783).

Goldman shows all the features of these claims except the keying features being flanges and groove of different sizes. Such a feature is well known in the art of connectors and is shown by Lampert. It would have been obvious to provide such a feature to Goldman's connectors because this would provide a means to provide directional alignment of the two connector ends as is well known in the art and shown by Lampert.

10. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldman et al. (5590229) in view of Knutsen et al. (4759601).

Goldman shows all the features of these claims except the connector rated for certain temperature and pressure. Such a feature is well known in the art of connectors and is shown by Knutsen. It would have been obvious to provide such a feature to Goldman's connectors because this would simply require a mere selection of a

Application/Control Number: 10/772,091

Art Unit: 2839

connector of certain ratings depending upon the suitability for intended use which involve only routine skill in the art.

11. Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldman et al. (5590229) in view of Linden et al. (5301213).

Goldman shows all the features of these claims except the welding features.

Such a feature is well known in the art of connectors and is shown by Linden. It would have been obvious to provide such a feature to Goldman's connectors because this would provide a means for a permanent connection of connection ends to protective tubings.

#### Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2839

# Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 6/16/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Goldman discloses a second alignment feature for properly rotationally aligning each termini of the first plurality of termini with each termini of the second plurality of termini as described in Column 8, line 31 –column 9, line 53.

# Double Patenting

14. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

15. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 115 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,685,361. This is a double patenting rejection.

#### Contact Information

16. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad whose telephone number is (571) 272-2099.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,091

Art Unit: 2839

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner July 14, 2005